UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,929	05/04/2005	Keisuke Ohnishi	063242	8060	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ZANELLI, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1	3661		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
1			05/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/533,929	OHNISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Zanelli	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 May 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,5,7,11-14,17 and 19-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8-10,15,16 and 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 May 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/4/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/533,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment filed 5/4/05 has been entered. Claims 1-25 are pending.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

- 3. The IDS filed 5/4/05 has been considered.
- 4. Figures 9 and 10 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated (see Brief Description of the Drawings). See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claim appears to repeat subject matter already contained in base claim 3. The claim should only include that subject matter which is further limiting the base claim.
- 6. Claims 1-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - A. As per claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7, the claims refer to "the current position ai"; however, this variable has not been previously established. Taking claim 1 as exemplary,

Application/Control Number: 10/533,929

Art Unit: 3661

Page 3

the examiner suggests inserting --ai-- after "the current position" at line 5. Note claim 6 uses the variable "a1" instead of "ai", but the same type of correction is required.

- B. All claims depending from an objected base claim are also objected to as containing the same deficiencies.
- 7. Claims 2, 5, 7, 11-14, 17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. As per claim 2, "the direction angle Ai" (line 14) and "the reference angle A" (line 15) lack antecedence.
 - B. As per claim 5, "the corrected position" (line 22) lacks antecedence.
 - C. As per claim 7, "the tolerance angle γ " (line 18) and "the corrected position" (line 23) lack antecedence.
 - D. As per claims 11 and 20-25, "the pedestrian navigation devices" lacks antecedence. Note that each of the independent claims refers to only one pedestrian navigation device. Further the claims are unclear as to what is meant by "the functions of the pedestrian navigation devices". The examiner suggests changing "functions" to —means—to avoid ambiguity.
 - E. All claims depending from a rejected base claim are also rejected as containing the same deficiencies.
- 8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 3661

9. Claims 11 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 11 and 20-25 are directed to a computer program without a computer-readable medium necessary for imparting the computer program's functionality.

Computer programs in and of themselves do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. Such computer programs constitute functional descriptive material which is nonstatutory. See MPEP 2106(IV)(B)(1)(a). The examiner suggests rewriting the claims as "A computer readable medium having a computer program product for ...".

10. Claims 1-25, as best interpreted given the deficiencies noted above, are distinguishable over the prior art. The prior art of record does not show or reasonably suggest, in combination with the other claimed subject matter: a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means for calculating the distance between a reference point a0 and the current position and comparing it to a reference distance to determine how the current position is to be corrected relative to a reference direction (claim 1) or a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means for determining when the absolute value of the difference between a direction angle and a reference angle is less than or equal to a tolerance angle γ and calculating current position display information for the current position and setting the direction angle as the new reference angle (claim 2) or a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means for calculating the distance between a reference point a0 and the current position and comparing it to a reference distance to determine how to calculate current position display

Art Unit: 3661

information as well as setting a new reference point and a new reference direction (claim 3) or a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means having a reference direction indicating the direction of a past movement route and a prescribed tolerance angle γ , taking the current position at the start of navigation to be reference point a0, and, upon receiving the current position ai after a prescribed time from said position information analysis means, calculating the direction a0-ai from said reference point a0 to said current position ai, and (a) if the direction a0 \rightarrow ai is outside the range of the tolerance angle γ , from the reference direction a, using current position display information calculated using said reference point a0 without modification; but (b) if the direction a0 \rightarrow ai is within the range of the tolerance angle γ from the reference direction α, calculating current position display information using said current position ai, and moreover taking the corrected position of said current position ai to be the new reference point, and takes the direction from the previous reference point a0 to the new reference point ai to be the new reference direction α (claim 5) or a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means for correcting the current position al in the direction of advance measured by a direction measurement means and calculating current position display information, and in addition taking the corrected position of the current position al to be the new reference point (claim 6) or a pedestrian navigation device including a central processing means which takes the current position at the start of navigation to be reference point a0, and upon receiving the current position ai after a prescribed time from said position information analysis means, calculates the direction a0 \rightarrow ai from said reference point a0 to said current position ai, and (a) if the direction a0 \rightarrow ai is outside the range of the tolerance angle γ from said direction of advance measured by said direction measurement means, uses current position display

Art Unit: 3661

information calculated using said reference point a0 without modification; but

(b) if the direction a0 \rightarrow ai is within the range of the tolerance angle γ from said direction of

advance measured by said direction measurement means, calculates current position display

information using said current position ai, and in addition takes the corrected position of said

current position ai to be the new reference point (claim 7). Dependent claims 4 and 8-25 are

distinguishable for at least the same reasons.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 11.

disclosure. The cited documents are of general interest.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Zanelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-6969.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas G. Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661